



BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

10 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 3000
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606

TEL: 312.463.5000
FAX: 312.463.5001
www.bannerwitcoff.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO: Examiner Thuy Chan Dao
Group Art Unit 2192

FROM:
Christopher M. Swickhamer

COMPANY:
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE:
January 6, 2010

FAX NO.:
571-273-8570

TOTAL NO. OF PAGES: (including cover sheet) 4

YOUR REFERENCE NO.
007412.00200

OUR REFERENCE (C/M) NO.:

RE: U.S. Patent Application No. 10/789,401

If you do not receive all page(s) or have any problems receiving this transmission, please call:

NAME:

PHONE:

COMMENTS:

Proposed Interview Topics attached.

Important/Confidential: This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. This message contains information from the law firm of Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. which may be privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, retention, archiving, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately at our telephone number listed above. We will be happy to arrange for the return of this message to our offices at no cost to you.

CHICAGO

WASHINGTON, D.C.

BOSTON

PORTLAND, OR

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Attorney Docket No. 007412.00200

In re U.S. Patent Application of)	
Jeffrey Wannamaker, et al.)	
Application No. 10/789,401)	Examiner: Thuy Chan Dao
Filed: February 27, 2004)	Group Art Unit: 2192
For: Targeted Runtime Compilation)	Confirmation No. 5238
)	

Proposed Interview Topics
Not for Entry on the Record

VIA FACSIMILE
(571) 273-8570

Dear Examiner Dao:

In preparation for our telephonic interview at 12:00 PM Eastern on Thursday, January 7, 2010, Applicants propose the following topics for discussion:

- The instant application, pending claims, the Final Office Action mailed November 3, 2009, and the rejections cited therein.
- The proposed amendment to claim 1, below, incorporating the features of claim 10.
- The rejection of claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on the combination of Holzle (US 6,240,548) and Beadle (US 6,530,075). Neither reference discloses the features of "wherein said annotating comprises selectively setting each of a plurality of normally unused bits within a method access flag field of an identified class file, wherein said unused bits are selectively set to define thereby said priority level hint of a respective annotated method." In the rejection of claim 10, the Action refers to an invocation counter of Holzle as purportedly disclosing these features. See Action, p. 4.
- Hölzle, however, fails to disclose selectively setting each of a *plurality of unused bits* within its invocation counter to define a priority level hint, and hence the invocation counter of Hölzle is not analogous to the claimed method access flag field.

Application No. 10/789,401

- Moreover, Hölzle does not describe using unused bits of its invocation counter to define a priority level hint that is hierarchically-related to a priority level hint of another interpreted method.
- The proposed amendment to claim 1 is thus believed to define over the cited combination.
- Applicants also wish to discuss any suggested amendments that would clarify the above distinction to place the application in condition for allowance.

Please confirm safe receipt of this facsimile and I look forward to speaking with you.

Respectfully submitted,
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Date:

By: _____
Christopher M. Swickhamer
Registration No. 59,853
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
10 South Wacker Drive,
Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: 312-463-5000
Facsimile: 312-463-5001

Application No. 10/789,401

1. (Proposed Amendment) A method for processing a p-code file, comprising:

analyzing p-code methods within said p-code file by a computer to determine a resource utilization for the p-code methods;

identifying one or more p-code methods that have a resource utilization parameter above a threshold level;

annotating said identified p-code methods to be compiled, said annotating comprising inserting an in-line priority level hint for each annotated p-code method, said priority level hints being hierarchically-related and collectively representing a hierarchical order, said priority level hints enabling preferential processing of said p-code methods in a hierarchical manner

corresponding to said hierarchical order of said priority level hints, wherein said annotating comprises selectively setting each of a plurality of normally unused bits within a method access flag field of an identified class file, wherein said unused bits are selectively set to define thereby said priority level hint of a respective annotated method;

replacing one or more lines of instructions in the p-code file with compiled code for the identified p-code methods; and

communicating the p-code file via a network to a target environment for execution of the compiled code and interpretation of uncompiled instructions in the p-code file.